BV and BFV formalism beyond perturbation theory Based on joint works w/ Benini-Safronov [2104.14886] and Benini-Richam [2201.10225] What is devived geometry? "Traditional" geometric frameworks, such as manifolds or schemes, are incapable to describe certain important geometric objects: (i) Quotients by non-free group actions: X/G is in general singular, e.g. G=Zh J J J J J J J J J J × X=12<sup>2</sup> × × , , , <u>X</u>/6 , and it ignores in how many ways points get identified, e.g. \*/G = \* independently of G. (11) Non-transversal intersections: X, X Xz is in general singular, e.g.  $Y = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $X_1 = \{(x_1, y_1, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z = 0\}$  and  $X_2 = \{(x_1, y_1, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x_1, y_1, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x_$  $MO \quad X_{1} \times X_{2} = \begin{cases} (x_{1}, y_{1}z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} : (x_{y})^{2} = 0 \text{ and } z = 0 \end{cases}$ by Dr and it ignores intersection multiplicities  $\begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$ 

· Devived (alyebraic) yeometry resolves these issues by introducing Ζ a powerful and refined concept of space called derived stacks. · To get some infustion, we have to recall dunctors of points: Yonoda (Jully Jointhful) Sh (Aff, Set) Alt := CAly Schemes and more (what you get from glueing) affine schemes (the building blocks ) luterpretation of a Junctor X: All -> Set:  $\mathbb{P}^{2}$  $-X(\mathbb{R}^{\circ}) = \text{points in } X''$  $-X(\mathbb{R}^{\prime}) = "curves in X"$  $X(IR^2) = "Surfaces in X"$ · Derived stacks have a richer functor of points: Scheme Set All P= CAlg Stack improves improves . es-stack Intersections quotients Grad mp EOM ov no gauge symmetry contraints (ghosts) (autipeles)  $\checkmark$  ddd  $P = dg Cdlg \ge 0$ > @Grpd derived (w-) stack dst := Sho (dAH, or Grand) defines an os-topos, hence all quotients, The challenge for applications is therefore to intersections, etc., exist. describe your derived stacks of interest in an explicit and useful way ...

· How is all of this related to BRST, BV, BFV, ...? formal neighbor hood around point Los-algebra (or DGCAly) Lune Pridham XEdSt no BRST/BV/BFV/... = porturbative/formal aspects of derived geometry Application 1: Non-perturbative (classical and fin. dim.) BV formalism · Physical Scenario: A physical system is typically described by 1) a space of fields X, 2.) an action of the gauge group X×G → X, 3.) a gauge-invariant action Junction 5 Want to determine the space of extreme of S modulo gauge, which involves taking quotients (X/G) and intersections ( $d^{dR}S = O$ ) no DAG potentially important VMathematical formalization: Let X= Spec A be smooth alline scheme w/ action X×G -> X of a smooth alline group scheme G= Spec H. Consider quotient stack and a function  $S: [X/G] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The devived critical locus is defined as the pullback in dSt

OK, that's a bit abstract and 14 dCrit(S) ---- > [X/6] in explicit ... Can one understand better how [X/G] \_\_\_\_\_ T\*[X/G] dCont (S) "looks like" and how it relates to the physicists BV formalism? • Thm: (Benjini - Safronov - AS) dCrit (S:[X/G] -> R) ~ [Z/G] is a devived quotient stack, where Z = Spec O(2), is derived affine scheme with function dy-algebra determined by the two homotopy pushouts in dyCAlg=0 Symg -> R  $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(\partial)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}^{*}} A$ h4 (1<sup>dR</sup>S)\* A ----- Ø(Z) Sym<sub>A</sub> T<sub>4</sub> ---- ▷ O(µ-1(0)). An explicit model is given by O(Z) = Sym<sub>A</sub> (A⊗g<sub>I-2]</sub> ⊕ T<sub>A I-1]</sub> ∈ dg(Alg≥0 tields autophelds autophelds tor ghosts tout tautological 1-form on T\*X with differential  $\partial a = 0$  ,  $\partial v = i_v (d^{dRS})$  $\partial t = i^{*}(t) = -i_{S(t)} \lambda$ . From this perspective, it is easy to determine the function dy-algebra  $O(dcrit(s)) \simeq O(IZ/GJ)$  $\simeq O\left(\operatorname{colim}\left(2 \notin 2 \times 6 \notin 2 \times 6^{2} \cdots\right)\right)$ 

 $\simeq \lim \left( O(Z) = O(Z \times G) = O(Z \times G^2) \cdots \right)$  $\sim \operatorname{Tot}^{T} N^{\bullet}(G, O(Z)) \in \operatorname{DGCAlg}$ normalized group cochains w/ coefficients in O(Z). The BV formalism considers instead a Lie algobra quotient  $BV(s) = LZ/g ] \Rightarrow O(BV(s)) \simeq Tat CE(g, O(Z)) \in DG(Ag)$ These two are related by the van Est map vE: O(dCrit(S)) -> O(BV(S)), which further preserves the canonical (-1) -shifted symplectic structures. dCrit(s) = [2/6] is in general NOT affine, i.e. O(dCrit(s)) · Warning : does Not faithfully encode this derived stack. This is a new feature of the non-perturbative would? It is however faithfully encoded by its dy-cologony of modules  $Q(oh(dCrit(S)) \simeq G - dgMod_{O(2)})$ Application 2: Quantization of gauge-theoretic canonical phase spaces 2nd order gauge theories is a derived cotangent stack . The canonical phase space of T\*[X/G]  $[T^*X/IG] := [P^{-1}(0)/G]$ canonical position gauge manenter variables symmetry Symplectic reduction where (as before) p<sup>-1</sup>(0) = Spec O(p<sup>-1</sup>(0)), is derived affine scheme with  $O(p^{-1}(0)) = Sym_A \left( T_A \not\subset A \otimes g_{T-1} \right) \in d_g(Alg_{\geq 0})$ 

· Studying the Poisson geometry and quantizations of TEX/6] one faces two main challenges: 1.) Poisson structures are poorly functorial, so a Poisson structure on  $T^{*}[X_{6}] \simeq [p^{-1}(0)/6] = colim (p^{-1}(0) \neq p^{-1}(0) \times G \notin ...)$ is NOT simply a family of compatible Poisson structures on each level. Z.) T\* INGI is NOT affine, so we have to quantize the dg-category QCoh (T\* [NG]) ~ G-dy Mod O(p-1). At the abstract level, these challenges have been solved by CPTVV and independently by Pridham. Can one make this explicit? mp That's the content of the Benini-Pridham - AS paper. · Even though the technical details are tough, the KEY IDEA is simple and beautiful: Step 1: Resolve T\*[X/G] ~ [p<sup>-1</sup>(0)/G] by a diagram of Lie algebra gentients that's the BFV formalism ([r-1(0)/g]) = [p-1(0) × G/yog] = ... This is level-wise affine and we can pass to stacky dy-algebras  $CE^{\bullet}(g, \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0))) \xrightarrow{\sim} CE^{\bullet}(good, \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)\times 6)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdots$ The face and dogeneracy maps are formally stale, so a Poisson structure can be defined level wise. At level O, the non-trivial Poisson brachets read as  $\{v_1 \alpha_3^2 = v(\alpha), \quad \{v_1 v'_3^2 = Lv_1 v'_1, \quad \{t_1 \Theta_3^2 = - < \Theta_1 t > 0\}$ Step 2: Quantize levelwise in terms of differential operators  $CE^{\circ}(g, O(\mu^{-1}(\omega))) \xrightarrow{h} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} CE^{\circ}(g \oplus g, O(\mu^{-1}(\omega) \times G)) \xrightarrow{h} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow}$ 

I7 and pass over to dy-categories of modules  $() = \left( dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y, O(\mu^{-1}(o)))} \right)_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o) \times G))} )_{h} \longrightarrow dg Mod_{CE^{\circ}(y \otimes g, O(\mu^{-1}(o)$ Step 3: Obtain global quantization of T\*IX/6] by computing homotopy limit ( in dy Cat) of the local quantizations QCoh(TEX/G]) = holim (\*) e dy Cat • Thm: (Benini - Pridham - AS) A model for the dg-category QCoh (T\* IXG]) is given by the following: Oyects: Triples (E., V, y) consisting of ( wave functions ) WI Graction ) (1) an Alth]]-dy-module E. W/ Graction (2) a G-eqv. dg-connections V: E. → J21/LTLI] & E. (action of Attril]
w.v.d. to d<sup>qR</sup>, i.a. V(as) = to d<sup>qR</sup>a & s + a.V(s)
(3) a G-eqv. gruded module map L: gt-1] & E# (action of antighosts) satislymg (1)  $\nabla_{V} \nabla_{V_{1}} - \nabla_{V_{1}} \nabla_{V} = \frac{t}{V} \nabla_{V_{1}} \nabla_{V_{1}} \int \nabla_{V} \Psi_{+} = \Psi_{+} \nabla_{V}$ CCR momenta and antiphosts Lt Lt' =- Lt' Lt (ii)  $\partial \psi_{t} + \psi_{t} \partial = \nabla_{p^{*}(t)} + \frac{t}{s}g(t)$ CCR ghosts and antighosts (+ technical conditions) Mouphisms hour ((E., V, y), (E', V', y')) := hour A (E., E') & hour A (E., E')